## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**Takato Nakano** 

# Effects of quenching on relaxation properties of wet wood

Received: November 10, 2003 / Accepted: March 3, 2004

Abstract A new relaxation property is discussed on the basis of creep behavior of wet wood specimens pretreated with heating at various temperatures followed by quenching. The treated samples showed more marked relaxation than that of an untreated sample. The relationship between relaxation time and heating history was represented by an equation  $\ln(\lambda) = -(\gamma \alpha_{\rm f} - k_1) \Delta T + [\ln(\lambda_{\rm g}) + k_2]$ , where  $\ln(\lambda)$ is the logarithmic relaxation time of wet samples after quenching,  $\Delta T$  is the difference between the heating temperature and the glass transition temperature  $(T_{o})$ ,  $\ln(\lambda_{o})$  is the logarithmic relaxation time at  $T_{\rm g}$ ,  $\gamma$  is a constant,  $\alpha_{\rm f}$  is the coefficient of thermal bulk expansion, and  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants. It was concluded from the analysis of experimental results that the change in the relaxation property caused by heating and the following quenching is due to the temporary free volume created by freezing of molecular chain motion of wood components, most probably lignin, during quenching.

Key words Heating  $\cdot$  Quenching  $\cdot$  Relaxation time  $\cdot$  Free volume  $\cdot$  Wood

## Introduction

Mechanical relaxation of wood and wood components, especially when wet, has been examined by many researchers,<sup>1-6</sup> because softening of wood is important for pulp and paper manufacturing processes. The mechanical properties of wood are not temporary but permanent and reappear in repeated viscoelastic measurements if no degradation oc-

T. Nakano (🖂)

Faculty of Science and Technology, Shimane University, Matsue, Shimane 690-8504, Japan Tel. +81-852-32-6566 e-mail: nakano@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp curs by heating. Recently, Furuta et al.,<sup>7–9</sup> Kudo et al.,<sup>10,11</sup> and Kamei et al.<sup>12</sup> reported that a new relaxation process appeared following its heating history, especially quenching of wet wood. This relaxation process, which appeared at about 60°C in dynamic mechanical measurement, is completely different from the processes reported so far for wet wood components. The former is thus assumed to be a temporary relaxation process.

The mechanism of this interesting phenomenon has not been discussed in detail and is not yet clarified. A similar phenomenon caused by quenching, however, has already been found for various amorphous polymers and has been analyzed in detail.<sup>13,14</sup> Amorphous polymers at temperatures below their  $T_{g}$  are to be regarded as solidified supercooled liquids, whose volume, enthalpy, and entropy are greater than those in the equilibrium state. Thus, the approach to equilibrium affects many properties over time such as mechanical relaxation, which is called "Physical aging." This aging can be explained by the free volume concept.<sup>14</sup> The change for wet wood also appears to be discussed on the basis of the free volume, considering the similarity of results reported so far. In this article, the mechanism of this new relaxation process was analyzed on the basis of the concept of free volume.

# Experimental

Yezo spruce (*Picea jezoensis* Carr.) with no defects, which had been kiln-dried, was used as a sample having rectangular dimensions of 0.3 (L)  $\times$  8.5 (R)  $\times$  1.0 (T) cm, where L, R, and T denote longitudinal, radial, and tangential directions, respectively. All specimens were boiled for 1h and then placed in water at room temperature for 1 week to remove internal stress.

Two heating treatments were conducted. In the first experiment, samples were quenched to the desired temperature below  $60^{\circ}$ C at the desired quenching rate after heating in water at various temperatures for 30 min, and then cooled at 25°C for 10 min. In the second experiment, the samples

This work was presented at the 52nd Annual Meeting of Japan Wood Research Society, Gifu, April 2002



**Fig. 1.** Dependence of creep compliance at 25°C on heating temperature for heating followed by quenching to the desired temperature at a rate of  $-4^{\circ}$ C/min. Measurements were carried out immediately after samples were quenched to the desired temperature below 60°C at a rate of  $-4^{\circ}$ C/min after heating in water at various temperatures for 30 min and then cooled at 25°C for 10 min

were quenched to  $25^{\circ}$ C at the desired quenching rate after heating at 100°C for 30min. As such, the aging times of samples in the two experiments are different. Treated samples were subjected to creep measurements at  $25^{\circ}$ C while wet immediately after the above treatment.

Creep measurements were carried out in a chamber at 25°C by using a cantilever creep apparatus over a 0.06-m span under a load of 0.6N, which was below 30% of the upper limit load of linearity. Samples were wrapped in polyethylene film to remain wet through the experiment.

### **Results and discussion**

Creep behavior and quenching

Quenching scarcely influenced the instantaneous creep compliance  $J_0$ , values of which varied from  $1.8 \times 10^{-9}$  to  $1.9 \times 10^{-9}$  Pa<sup>-1</sup> through all quenching conditions, while the net creep compliance  $J_r(t)$  subtracted  $J_0$  from the total creep compliance did remarkably. Figure 1 shows the dependence of  $J_r(t)$  on time for a series of samples heated at different temperatures and quenched to the prescribed temperatures at a rate of  $-4^{\circ}$ C/min. Higher heating temperatures caused a greater degree of relaxation. Creep, however, showed almost no temperature dependence below 50°C. In the relationship between heating temperature and  $J_r(10^4)$ , for the quenching rate  $-4^{\circ}$ C/min,  $J_r(10^4)$  increased between 50° and 80°C and was constant in the regions less than 50°C and more than 80°C. These results agreed with the results of stress relaxation reported by Kudo et al.<sup>10,11</sup> and Kamei et al.<sup>12</sup>

Aging time at 25°C after heating influenced the relaxation property as well as heating temperature. Figure 2 shows the dependence of creep compliance on aging time at 25°C for samples treated in boiled water at 100°C followed by quenching in water at 25°C, where  $J_r(t)$  of a treated



**Fig. 2.** Dependence of creep compliance at  $25^{\circ}$ C on aging time after heating at 100°C for 30min followed by quenching to  $25^{\circ}$ C. Samples were quenched to  $25^{\circ}$ C at the desired quenching rate after heating at 100°C for 30min

sample approached that of an untreated sample with the aging time. This implied that the effect of the heating disappeared with the aging time, that is, it is temporary.

The above results suggest that a change of molecular motion of wood components takes place near 60°C, and this is related to the creation of free volume in accordance with an explanation that the relaxation process of amorphous polymers is generally caused by the free volume.<sup>15-17</sup> This is because the effects of heating followed by quenching were related to both the aging time and heating temperature. It should be noted that the free volume creation by quenching is not permanent but temporary, so that the relaxation time is probably quite short.

Analysis of creep behavior by using universal functions

The mechanism of change in relaxation properties caused by heating and quenching of wood could not be quantitatively assessed in detail. We will, therefore, try to clarify the mechanism by using the short and long relaxation times, which are discussed on the basis of the assumption that the relaxation process for treated wood is approximately describable by the following two processes. That is, the relaxation process can be represented by a linear combination of a Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts (KWW) function and a Debye function.

Such a linear combination of the KWW function and Debye function have been used for analysis of various materials.<sup>18–23</sup> The resulting function gives three parameters, short and long relaxation times, and a parameter describing the shape of the time dependence of a relaxation process. It should be noted that this analysis is an approximate method that is based on the assumption that wood has only two relaxation times, which are regarded as the average relaxation times in the shorter and longer regions of the broad distribution of the relaxation time for wood.

This analysis is applied to the net creep compliance  $J_r(t)$  without instantaneous creep compliance, because the instantaneous creep compliance  $J_0$  does not vary with all quenching conditions as mentioned above. Then,  $J_r(t)$  is represented by two processes which are  $J_1(t)$  in the short time region and  $J_2(t)$  in the long time region,

$$J_{\rm r}(t) = J_1(t) + J_2(t)$$
(1)

with

$$J_1(t) = J_1(\infty) \left[ 1 - \exp(-(t/\lambda_1))^{\beta} \right]$$
(2)

$$J_2(t) = J_2(\infty) \Big[ 1 - \exp(-(t/\lambda_2)) \Big]$$
(3)

where  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\beta$  denote the relaxation time of the KWW function, the relaxation time of the Debye function, and a parameter describing the shape of the time dependence of the creep compliance, respectively. When the Debye function is valid in the longer time region,

$$y = \alpha + \gamma \exp[\mu t] \tag{3-a}$$

where  $y = J_r(t)$ ,  $\alpha = J_1(\infty) + J_2(\infty)$ ,  $\gamma = -J_2(\infty)$ ,  $\mu = -1/\lambda_2$ . The constants are obtained from the regression curve of Eq. 3-a. We applied Eq. 3-a to t > 2000s and then found the linearity of  $\ln[\alpha - J_r(t)]$  versus t for all quenching conditions. We could, therefore, approximate the creep curves for t > 2000s by the Debye function.  $J_1(t)$  could be calculated by using  $J_2(t)$  considering  $J_1(t) = J_r(t) - J_2(t)$ . Equation 2 held for t < 2000 s, because  $\ln[-\ln[1 - J_1(t)/J_1(\infty)]]$  versus  $\ln[t]$  for t < 2000 had good linearity. That is, the creep curves for t < 2000 s are suitably approximated by the KWW function.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the parameters on aging time at 25°C, which were obtained by using the above procedure. Samples were boiled at 100°C and quenched at 25°C. Relaxation times  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  increased with aging time and then leveled off at 10h, while  $\beta$  decreased with aging time and leveled off at 10h when the value was about 0.5. From these results, we found that the relaxation behaviors in both the shorter and longer relaxation time regions were influenced by the heating history and that the effect disappeared after 10h of aging. In general, the distribution of relaxation time broadens with an increase in  $\beta$  and has only one relaxation time for  $\beta = 1$ . Considering that many polymers have  $\beta = 0.5$  for stress relaxation and  $\beta = 0.33$  for creep,<sup>24</sup> these results show that, in the shorter relaxation time region, the distribution for wet wood was relatively narrow and was broadened with aging.

The effects of heating temperature and quenching rate were not dealt with in the above discussion, although the above analysis clarified the effect of aging time. This is because the comparison of samples heated at different temperatures needed temperature correction for relaxation time, as is mentioned below.



Fig. 3. Dependence of logarithmic relaxation times  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ , and  $\beta$  on aging time at 25°C after heating at 100°C followed by quenching to 25°C

Mechanism of change of relaxation property by quenching

The relaxation properties of wood and wood components, which are characterized by the glass transition temperature or softening temperature, have been examined.<sup>1-6</sup> According to Goring,<sup>1</sup> softening points of wet wood components are  $54^{\circ}$ –142°C for hemicellulose,  $77^{\circ}$ –128°C for lignin, and 222°–250°C for cellulose. They are not temporary and reappear in repeated viscoelastic measurements if no degradation occurs by heating, and hence they are different from the new temporary relaxation process in the present work as well as the ones reported by Furuta et al.,<sup>7-9</sup> Kudo et al.,<sup>10,11</sup> and Kamei et al.<sup>12</sup>

Furuta et al.,<sup>7</sup> who first found the relaxation phenomenon for wood, reported results by dynamic viscoelastic measurements in the temperature region of 10°–95°C for green and wet samples impregnated with water after kiln drying. Their measurement consisted of two trials, that is, the first trial at a heating rate of 5°C/min carried out in the temperature region of 0° to 90°C and the second trial subsequent to the first done under the same conditions after gradual cooling for 15 min from 90° to 0°C. For samples swollen after kiln drying, there were two temperature peaks of tan  $\delta$  at around 50° and 80°C for the first trial and one peak at around 80°C for the second trial. That is, the peak near 50°C disappeared on the second trial. On the other hand, no difference between the first and second trials for the same measurement was observed for green wood. Furuta et al. speculated from the above results that the peak near 50°C was not caused by wood components but related to strain stored by kiln drying which had not been released by swelling. Results obtained in the present work as well as those obtained by Kudo et al.<sup>10,11</sup> and Kamei et al.<sup>12</sup> by using static viscoelastic measurements are regarded as the same phenomenon as that reported by Furuta et al.

There arises a question about the mechanism by which this relaxation process occurs. We should then note that quenching causes a change of the relaxation property, which is not permanent but temporary, and that its relaxation time is affected by both the heating temperature and the aging time after quenching.

For polymers, heating above the glass transition temperature  $(T_g)$  followed by quenching below  $T_g$  changes relaxation properties.<sup>13,14</sup> Quenching flexible molecular chains heated above  $T_g$  influences their rearrangement below  $T_g$  so that the mechanical or dielectric relaxation properties change below  $T_g$ . The higher the temperature above  $T_g$  or the shorter the aging time, the shorter the relaxation time. This is because the free volume increases by heating above  $T_g$  and the nonequilibrium state after quenching below  $T_g$  approaches the equilibrium state with aging time.

Kovacs<sup>13</sup> proposed the following equation to explain the above phenomena by the theory of isothermal volume relaxation,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{V_{\mathrm{f}} - V_{\infty}}{\lambda} \tag{4}$$

where  $V_t$ ,  $V_{\infty}$ , and  $\lambda$  are the momentary value of the free volume, the equilibrium value of the free volume, and the momentary value of the relaxation time, respectively, and *t* denotes the aging time. On the other hand, Struik<sup>14</sup> assumed the following equation for the relationship between the relaxation time and free volume,

$$\ln(\lambda_{\infty}/\lambda) = \gamma(V_{\rm f} - V_{\infty}) \tag{5}$$

where  $\gamma$  is constant, and  $\lambda_{\infty}$  is the relaxation time at equilibrium. The relationship between the relaxation time  $\lambda$  and the heating and quenching treatment is derived by using Eqs. 4 and 5.

We applied the above discussion to the aging of wet wood. From the left side of Eq. 4,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{\mathrm{d}V_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{d}T}\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t} = \alpha_{\mathrm{f}}v_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{6}$$

where *T* is the absolute temperature,  $\alpha_f$  is the coefficient of thermal bulk expansion of the free volume, and  $v_c$  is the quenching rate from the heating temperature to the aging one. The  $\alpha_f$  is approximately equal to the coefficient of the whole sample. From Eqs. 4–6,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln(\lambda_{\infty}/\lambda)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\gamma \alpha_{\mathrm{f}} v_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{7}$$

When the quenching rate is constant, from Eq. 7

$$\ln(\lambda) = -\gamma \alpha_{\rm f} v_{\rm c} t + \ln(\lambda_0) \tag{8}$$



**Fig. 4.** Dependence of logarithmic relaxation times  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  on quenching rate for heating at 80°C followed by quenching to 25°C

where  $\lambda_0$  is the relaxation time at t = 0, in other words, that at the heating temperature. Considering  $\Delta T = v_c t$  because  $v_c = \text{constant}$ : *t* is the length of time required to quench a sample by  $\Delta T$ ,

$$\ln(\lambda) = -\gamma \alpha_{\rm f} \Delta T + \ln(\lambda_0) \tag{9}$$

where  $\Delta T$  is the difference between the heating temperature and the aging temperature of molecular motion below  $T_{\rm g}$ .

We now know the relationship between  $\lambda$  and  $\Delta T$ . The above discussion for amorphous polymers probably holds for wet wood, considering Figs. 1 and 2, because the effects of heating followed by quenching on wet wood were closely related to both the aging time and heating temperature as were those of synthetic polymers. We can, therefore, expect that Eqs. 8 or 9 can be applied to quenching of wet wood, when  $\ln(\lambda)$  versus  $\Delta T$  must be linear for  $\lambda = \lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2$ .

Figure 4 shows a plot of  $\ln(\lambda_1)$  and  $\ln(\lambda_2)$  versus  $v_c$  for samples heated at 80°C followed by quenching to 25°C. It does not show any dependence on the quenching rate. The same result was obtained for the other heating conditions. This is because  $\Delta T (= v_c t)$  is constant even when quenching is conducted with a different rate after heating at a particular temperature. This supports the validity of Eq. 9.

It should be noted at this point that  $\lambda_0$  is the relaxation time at t = 0 which is dependent on the heating temperature. Thus, Eq. 9 must be modified to deal with results obtained at different heating temperatures. Considering the result in Fig. 2 and various reports,<sup>1-6,8,25</sup> the change in relaxation behavior at 60°C appears to be related to wet lignin whose molecular motion at the quenching temperature of 25°C is frozen, whereas wet hemicellulose molecular chains remain flexible according to Furuta et al.<sup>8</sup> We will use the Williams–Landel–Ferry (WLF) equation to deal with the results at different heating temperatures. The WLF equation is represented as  $\ln(a_T) = -c_1(T - T_g)/[c_2 + (T - T_g)]$ , where  $a_T$  is the shift factor,  $c_1 = 17.44$  and  $c_2 = 51.6$  are the universal values for amorphous polymers. This equation is approximately applicable to our results, assuming that lignin can be treated as an analog of amorphous polymers, because the lignin chain length between cross-linking points is relatively long so that the molecular chains are flexible under wet conditions. Irvine<sup>6</sup> discussed application of this principle to lignin. Applying the WLF to Eq. 9,

$$\ln(\lambda) = -\gamma \alpha_{\rm f} \Delta T + \ln(a_{\rm T}) + \ln(\lambda_{\rm g})$$
(10)

where  $\lambda_g$  is the relaxation time at  $T_g$ . Then, the heating temperature does not affect this equation. The shift factor can be simply represented by the following relation  $\ln(a_T) = k_1 \Delta T + k_2$  if  $(T - T_g) < c_2$ , where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are constants. In the present work,  $(T - T_g) < c_2$ . Thus, from Eq. 10 we have

$$\ln(\lambda) = -(\gamma \alpha_{\rm f} - k_{\rm i}) \Delta T + \left[\ln(\lambda_{\rm g}) + k_{\rm 2}\right]$$
(11)

This is a conclusion from the discussion on the basis of the free volume concept.

Here, it must be considered whether Eq. 11 is usable for our experiment. It should be examined whether  $\alpha_f$  and  $[\ln(\lambda_g) + k_2]$  agree with the universal value for amorphous polymers and the value for an unheated sample, respectively. We obtained  $\alpha_f = 1.23 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $\ln(\lambda_g) = 6.20$  by using  $\gamma = 1072$ ,  $k_1 = -0.490$ , and  $k_2 = -0.777$ . The derivation of  $\gamma$  is described in the Appendix. The constants  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  were calculated from the linear regression of  $\ln(\lambda)$  versus  $\Delta T$ . Considering that, according to Ferry,<sup>26</sup> the universal value of  $\alpha_f$  is  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  and that the experimental values  $[\ln(\lambda_g) + k_2]$  of the untreated sample were -4.76 and 8.67 for  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , respectively, and relationships of  $\ln \lambda_1$  and  $\ln \lambda_2$  versus  $\Delta T$  almost agreed with the regression line as shown in Fig. 5, application of Eq. 11 to our results appears to be reasonable.

The conclusion from the above discussion requires that the heating treatment should cause a volume expansion. In this connection, Furuta et al.9 reported no dimensional increase in any direction of treated block samples. Their result, however, is consistent with the creation of free volume in wood. The free volume for amorphous polymers is represented by  $f = f_g + \alpha_f (T - T_g)$ , where  $f_g = 0.025$  and  $\alpha_f = 2$ to  $5 \times 10^{-4}$ . A free volume increase immediately after heating at 100°C followed by quenching to 60°C was calculated by using this equation. When  $T = 373 \text{ K} (100^{\circ} \text{C})$ ,  $T_g = 333 \text{ K}$  $(60^{\circ}\text{C}), f_{g} = 0.025, \text{ and } \alpha_{f} = 2 \text{ to } 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ for lignin}, (f-f_{g}) =$ 0.008 to 0.02 (= 0.8% to 2%), which is quite a small value. Additionally, lignin content is generally about 30% of wood components. Accordingly, the increase of volume fraction by the created free volume should be very small so that the increase can hardly be observed for a block sample without making an observation by precise microscopy of the wood cell wall.



**Fig. 5.** Dependence of logarithmic relaxation times  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  on temperature difference  $\Delta T$  between heating temperature and the glass transition temperature ( $T_g = 60^{\circ}$ C). *Filled circles* in the respective figures are for an unheated sample

#### Conclusions

We conclude for wet wood that an increase in the difference between heating temperature and the glass transition temperature  $T_g$  of one of the wood components, probably lignin, reduces the decrease in the relaxation time. In other words, the created free volume, which is temporarily created by freezing the molecular motion of wood components during quenching, decreases the relaxation time, which is proportional to the difference between the heating temperature and  $T_g$ . This is the reason why heating followed by quenching causes the characteristic change in relaxation behavior of wet wood.

In the present discussion, we did not consider the effects of the high-order structure of wood such as cell structure, lamella structure, and so on. More precise discussion will be made in the future on the effects of the high-order structure on the current phenomenon.

# Appendix

The constant  $\gamma$  in the equation proposed by Struik<sup>14</sup> is determined by the following procedure.

Now, consider a sample quenched after heating. The Struik equation is then represented by

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\infty} \exp\left[-\gamma (V - V_{\infty})\right] \tag{a}$$

where  $V_{\infty}$  and V are the free volume long after and immediately after quenching, respectively,  $\lambda_{\infty}$  and  $\lambda$  are the corresponding relaxation times, respectively, and  $\gamma$  is a constant. This equation is regarded as an approximation of the following equation which is derived from the Doolittle equation:  $\lambda = \lambda_0 \exp[(1/f_0) - (1/f)]$ , where *f* is the free volume ratio and  $f = V_{\rm f}/(V_0 + V_{\rm f}) \approx V_{\rm f}/V_0$ ,  $f_0$  is the free volume at a certain temperature,  $V_0$  is the specific volume. Here, replacing  $f_0$  by  $f_{\infty}$ , we have the following equation as an approximation of the Struik equation.

$$\lambda = \lambda_{\infty} \exp\left[\left(1/f_{\infty}\right) - \left(1/f\right)\right] \tag{b}$$

In this article, we consider  $f_g$  instead of  $f_{\infty}$ .

We find Eq. (b) is approximated by the linear line represented by Eq. (a) which passes through points  $(f_{\infty}, 0)$  and  $(\alpha f_{\infty}, \lambda_{\rm g} \exp[(1/f_{\rm g}) - (1/\alpha f_{\rm g})])$ . The slope of the linear line is represented by

$$\gamma = \rho / \left( \alpha f_{g}^{2} \right)$$
 (c)

where  $\rho = 1/V_0$ ,  $\alpha$  is constant and  $\alpha \ge 1$ . The value of  $\alpha$  is determined by the maximum of the free volume ratio. For amorphous polymers,  $f_g = 0.025$  and  $\alpha_f = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ , and  $f = f_g + \alpha_f (T - T_g)$ , where  $\alpha_f$  is the coefficient of thermal bulk expansion of the free volume.<sup>26</sup> When  $T_g = 60^{\circ}$ C and the upper limit of temperature is 100°C as in the present work, f = 0.044 so that  $\alpha \approx 2$ . Accordingly, we have  $\gamma = 1072$ , considering  $f_g = 0.025$  and  $\rho = 1.34$  for lignin.

The difference between  $\ln(\lambda/\lambda_{\infty})$  in Eqs. (a) and (b),  $\Delta$ , is given by

$$\Delta = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\frac{1}{f_{\rm g}} - \left(\frac{1}{f} + \frac{f}{\alpha f_{\rm g}^2}\right) \tag{d}$$

where  $f_0 = f_g$  in Eq. (c). The maximum of difference,  $\Delta_{max}$ , is represented by

$$\Delta_{\rm max} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{\alpha} - 1\right)^2}{\alpha} \frac{1}{f_{\rm g}} \tag{e}$$

when  $f = \sqrt{a} f_g$ . Thus, we have the following equation as a ratio of the maximum error to the true value of  $\delta$ .

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \tag{f}$$

Accordingly,  $\delta = 0.29$  from Eq. (f), when  $\alpha = 2$ . That is, the error obtained by using Eq. (a) under  $\alpha = 2$  is 30% of the true value.

**Acknowledgments** The author gratefully acknowledges Professor Misato Norimoto of Kyoto University and Associate Professor Joseph Gril of Montpellier University for their helpful suggestions and comments.

#### References

- 1. Goring DIA (1963) Thermal softening of lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose. Pulp Paper Mag Canada December: T517–T527
- Chow S-Z, Pickels JK (1972) Thermal softening and degradation of wood and bark. Wood Fiber Sci 3:166–178
- Salmen NL, Back EL (1977) Moisture-dependent thermal softening of paper, evaluated by its elastic modulus. TAPPI 63:117–120
- Salmen NL (1979) Thermal softening of the components of paper, its effect on mechanical properties. Trans Tech Sect Can Pulp Pap Assoc 5: TR 45–50
- Hills WE, Rozsa AN (1978) The softening temperature of wood. Holzforshung 32:68–73
- Irvin GM (1980) The glass transition of lignin and its relevance to thermomechanical pulping. CSIRO Division of Chemical Technology Research Review, 33–43
- Furuta Y, Yano H, Kajita H (1995) Thermal-softening properties of water-swollen wood I (in Japanese). Mokuzai Gakkaishi 41:718– 721
- Furuta Y, Aizawa H, Yano H, Norimoto M (1997) Thermal-softening properties of water-swollen wood IV (in Japanese). Mokuzai Gakkaish 43:725–730
- Furuta Y, Norimoto M, Yano H (1998) Thermal-softening properties of water-swollen wood V (in Japanese). Mokuzai Gakkaishi 44:82–88
- Kudo M, Iida I, Ishimaru Y, Urakami K (2000) Abstracts of the 50th annual meeting of the Japan Wood Research Society, p 66
- 11. Kudo M, Iida I, Ishimaru Y (2001) Abstracts of the 51st annual meeting of the Japan Wood Research Society, p 59
- 12. Kamei K, Iida I, Ishimaru Y, Urakami K (2001) Abstracts of the 50th annual meeting of the Japan Wood Research Society, p 60
- Kovacs AJ (1964) Transition vitreuse dans les polymers amorphes. Etud Phenomenol Hochpolym Forsch 3:394–507
- 14. Struik LCE (1978) Physical aging in amorphous polymers and other materials. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- Doolittle AK (1951) Studies in Newtonian flow. II. The dependence of viscosity of liquids on free space. J Appl Phys 23:1471– 1475
- Doolittle AK (1952) Studies in Newtonian flow. III. The dependence of viscosity of liquids on molecular weight and free space. J Appl Phys 22:236–239
- Doolittle AK, Doolittle DB (1957) Studies in Newtonian flow. V. Further verification of the free space viscosity equation. J Appl Phys 28:901–905
- Klafer J, Shlesinger MF (1986) On the relationship among three theories of relaxation in disordered systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 83:848–851
- Bordewijk P (1975) Defect-diffusion models of dielectric relaxation. Chem Phys Lett 32:592–596
- Shledinger MF, Montroll EW (1984) On the William–Watts function of dielectric relaxation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 81:1280–1283
- Legend DG, Olszewski WV, Bendler JT (1985) Anelastic response of bisphenol-A polycarbonate. J Polym Sci B Polym Phys 25:1149– 1152
- Sasaki N, Umeda H, Okada S, Kojima R, Fukuda A (1989) Mechanical properties of hydroxyapatite-reinforced gelatin as a model system of bone. Biomaterials 10:129–132
- Sasaki N, Nakayama Y, Yoshikawa M, Enyo A (1993) Stress relaxation function of bone and bone collagen. J Biomech 26:1369–1376
- Matsuoka S (1992) Relaxation phenomena in polymers. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 62–84
- Iida I (1986) The thermal softening of green wood evaluated by its Young's modulus in bending (in Japanese). Mokuzai Gakkaishi 32:472–477
- Ferry JD (1980) Viscoelastic properties of polymers. Wiley, New York, pp 194–234